IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 3RD AUGUST 2007 / 12TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 20805 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

ABIDA BEEVI, D/O. ABDUL RAHIM,
AGED 53 YEARS, KOYIKKALATHU HOUSE,
THAMALLACKAL, SOUTH KUMARAPURAM,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.B.RENJITHKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. LAND REVENUE COMMISSIONER, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ALAPPUZHA.

4. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, CHENGANNUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 20805 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 3rd day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Following the conviction that was suffered by the petitioner, by Ext.P1 order, the petitioner has been placed under suspension. This writ petition is filed seeking to quash Ext.P1 order of suspension and to direct the respondents to reinstate her in service. It is seen that the petitioner has already invoked the statutory remedy by filing Ext.P3 appeal before the 2nd respondent. Learned Government Pleader on instruction confirms that the appeal is pending. Although the counsel for the petitioner contends for the position that the offences found against the petitioner do not involve any moral turpitude and that she is entitled to be reinstated for that reason itself, I am not inclined to consider this contention at least at this stage. In view of the pendency of Ext.P3 appeal filed by the petitioner before the 2nd respondent, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks

from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment with notice and hearing to the petitioner. Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 2nd respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp